

LogZilla vs IBM QRadar: Product Comparison

Product Comparison | December 2025

Executive Summary

IBM QRadar is an established enterprise SIEM, but its **complexity, high TCO, and lack of modern AI** create gaps. LogZilla delivers superior performance, simpler deployment, and AI-powered analysis with **50-70% lower TCO**.

Simplicity: LogZilla Wins

Factor	LogZilla	QRadar
Deployment	Minutes (Docker)	Weeks to months
Architecture	Single platform	Multiple components
Administration	Minimal	Dedicated admin
Learning Curve	Hours	Weeks of training

QRadar Pain Points

- Complex multi-component architecture
- Requires dedicated QRadar expertise
- Lengthy deployment and tuning
- Steep learning curve (AQL)

Cost: LogZilla Wins on TCO

Factor	LogZilla	QRadar
Licensing	Events/day	EPS-based (complex)
Hardware	10TB/day single server	Heavy requirements
Services	Minimal	Often required
Training	Included	Expensive IBM training

QRadar Pain Points

- EPS licensing complex and expensive
- Significant hardware investment
- Professional services often needed
- Ongoing training costs

AI Capabilities: LogZilla Wins

Capability	LogZilla	QRadar
Natural Language Queries	<div>Yes</div> plain English	<div>No</div> AQL required
AI-Generated Reports	Executive summaries, compliance	Watson (deprecated)
Root Cause Analysis	Automatic with confidence scores	Manual investigation
Remediation Commands	Vendor-specific CLI commands	Not available
MITRE ATT&CK Mapping	Automatic	Manual tagging

Real-World AI Example: Security Operations

Prompt: "Generate a security incident report for the last 24 hours. Include threat intelligence, MITRE ATT&CK mapping, and remediation commands."

LogZilla AI Response (analyzing 13.6M security events in seconds)

Threat Intelligence: Top Attackers

Source IP	Country	Threat Type
45.142.xxx.xxx	Russia	Brute Force
185.220.xxx.xxx	Germany	Port Scan
23.94.xxx.xxx	US	DNS Amplification

MITRE ATT&CK Mapping

Technique	Tactic	Evidence
T1110.001	Credential Access	12,453 SSH failures
T1498.002	Impact	DNS amplification
T1046	Discovery	Port scanning

AI-Generated Remediation

```
# Cisco ASA: Block attacker
access-list OUTSIDE_IN deny ip host 45.142.xxx.xxx any

# Palo Alto: Create EDL block
set address "Threat-Actor-1" ip-netmask 45.142.xxx.xxx/32
set security policy deny-threats source "Threat-Actor-1"

# Fortinet: Block and log
config firewall address
  edit "blocked-attacker"
    set subnet 45.142.xxx.xxx/32
end
```

Executive Summary

Finding	Severity	Count
DNS Amplification	Critical	847
SSH Brute Force	High	12,453
Firewall Denies	Medium	156,892

In QRadar: Manual AQL queries, no MITRE mapping, no remediation commands.

SOAR Comparison

Feature	LogZilla	QRadar SOAR
Included	Yes (built-in)	Separate product
Pricing	Included	Significant extra cost
Integration	Native	Requires configuration
Complexity	Simple scripting	Playbook development

Migration Benefits

- **Simplification:** Single platform vs multi-component
- **Cost Reduction:** 50-70% TCO savings typical
- **AI Upgrade:** Natural language vs AQL
- **SOAR Included:** No separate product needed

Key Value Propositions

50-70% Lower TCO

Simpler licensing, less hardware, no expensive services.

Minutes vs Months

Deploy in minutes. No weeks of tuning required.

Modern AI Built-In

Natural language, MITRE ATT&CK mapping, remediation commands.

Key Differentiators

Rapid Deployment

LogZilla deploys in minutes via Docker, compared to weeks or months for traditional QRadar implementations.

Modern AI Capabilities

Natural language queries with automatic MITRE ATT&CK mapping and vendor-specific remediation commands.

Lower Total Cost

50-70% TCO reduction through simpler licensing, reduced hardware requirements, and minimal professional services.

Integrated SOAR

SOAR capabilities included in the base platform rather than requiring a separate product purchase.